

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/874,315	NOBUKAWA, HISASHI	
	Examiner N. Bhat	Art Unit 1764	

All Participants:

(1) N. Bhat.
(2) Mr. Robert Mukai.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.
(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 May 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102 over Harris

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Harris USP 1, 932, 405

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed figure 14 and how it could read on claim 1, without positive recitation that the upper tank is open to the atmosphere so that the upper tank is subjected to evaporation by the sun, amendment to delete "can be" to --is-- authorized by examiner's amendment...